



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

**MISCELLANY.**

**The article "Notice of Stockholders of Corporation"** in *Miscellany*, p. 726, of the January "Register" was—with the exception of the paragraph "Punctuation of Statutes"—written by Wm. L. Foushee, Esq., of the Richmond Bar. The article was unsigned and Mr. Foushee's letter enclosing the same led us to the conclusion that no signature to the article was contemplated. We gladly credit it to the author and hope to hear from him again.

**The Insanity Oath.**—A letter from Colonel Mapleson in the *Times*, stating that an eminent *prima donna* has contracted an illness through "kissing the Book" in the witness-box, has once again drawn attention to the danger of the insanitary oath. It is a danger which, of course, no witness is obliged to run. The Oaths Act, 1888, provides that "if any person to whom an oath is administered desires to swear with uplifted hand, in the form and manner in which the oath is usually administered in Scotland, he shall be permitted to do so, and the oath shall be administered to him in such form without further question." Exceedingly little use is made of the provision of the Act, few witnesses, apparently, being self-possessed enough to express the necessary desire. Perhaps the practice of "kissing the Book" would fall into desuetude if the officials who administer the oath were instructed to remind every witness of his right to swear with uplifted hand, but probably the better course would be for Parliament to recognise that the practice ought not to be continued. It could either make the use of the Scottish form of oath general, or restore the ancient practice of the laying of the hand on the Bible. The touching of the sacred Book with the hand seems to have been the essential part of the ceremony long after the practice of kissing it was introduced. As Lord Hale said, "The regular oath as it is allowed by the laws of England is *tactis sacrosanctis Dei evangelii*." Lifting up the right hand is even a more ancient custom, and it is certainly not less impressive; but the proposal to restore the practice of the laying of the hand on the Bible has the great merit, from the Parliamentary point of view, of following the line of least resistance, since its adoption would not encounter the opposition of those unwilling to see the Bible excluded from the ceremony.—*London Law Journal*.

**Date of Bar Examination.**—Mr. M. B. Watts, secretary, informs us that the Supreme Court of Appeals of Virginia has decided to hold the Bar Examination at Wytheville, in June next, on Friday, June 18th, instead of Wednesday as provided in the new rules; this departure from the rules, however, to apply only to this examination.